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Abstract
The Pacific University School of Pharmacy (SOP) developed new Abilities Based Outcomes (ABOs) to guide program development and assessment. As part of this collaborative process, which involved faculty, staff and administration, student input was also sought. An Assessment Student Focus Group, in a directed process facilitated by the faculty Assessment Committee chair, developed ABOs that were “meaningful”, “manageable” and “measurable”. Many of these were similar to those developed by the faculty and staff.
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Introduction

The Pacific University School of Pharmacy (SOP) developed new Abilities Based Outcomes (ABOs) to guide program development and assessment. As part of this collaborative process, which involved faculty, staff and administration, student input was also sought. An Assessment Student Focus Group, in a directed process facilitated by the faculty Assessment Committee chair, developed ABOs that were “meaningful”, “manageable” and “measurable”. Many of these were similar to those developed by the faculty and staff.

Process for student input on ABOs

In late fall 2008 each ASFG representative was asked to work with their team to identify three abilities based outcomes (ABOs) that they felt graduates of their program should possess in each of the following categories:

- **knowledge, professional skills, attitudes, values**
- **Meaningful**
- **Manageable**
- **Measurable**

At the ASFG meeting, students were split into three groups (each mixing P1 and P2 students together) and asked to choose the “most important” three ABOs in each of the four categories. The groups then scored each of these twelve ABOs for the criteria “meaningful”, “manageable” and “measurable” to indicate the level at which they could be used to guide curricular development and be accurately assessed.

Several of the ABOs formulated by students were not scored as “measurable” by the ASFG. In early 2009, ASFG members returned to their student teams to discuss how “immeasurable” ABOs could be measured. This exercise demonstrated to the students that character qualities make less appropriate ABOs than observable activities.

Student/Faculty ABOs

Faculty and staff met shortly after the late fall ASFG meeting to produce a set of ABOs for the program. Student-generated ABOs were shared in this meeting and incorporated into the final set.

Examples of ABOs proposed independently by both faculty/staff and students:

**Drug distribution systems**
- Interpret and comply with civil and administrative federal and state laws involving pharmacy practice
- Provide patient consultations in a format to ensure correct, clear and concise medication information is shared and received

**Drug Information**
- Synthesize an evidence-based therapeutic plan/drug information response in a concise, practical and organized format using acceptable methods of referencing

**Communication**
- Consistently utilize appropriate and effective verbal and nonverbal speaking and listening communication skills including: clarity, enunciation, pronunciation, rate/volume, terminology and eye contact with all health care professionals, patients, families and caregivers
- Incorporate an interprofessional team approach to maximize outcomes of individual patients and populations

**Medication Therapy Evaluation**
- Create and evaluate treatment regimens with optimal outcomes utilizing pharmacokinetic and drug formulation data, as well as psycho-social, ethical and financial information specific to the patient

Conclusions

- Students will participate in student focus groups when invited.
- Student focus groups can be a useful way of providing information to committees and administration beyond surveys.
- Students can recognize skills and character traits they desire in themselves and their classmates as pharmacists which overlap with those that faculty desire to inculcate.
- Most students can distinguish the difference between skills that are measurable and personality traits that are more difficult to quantify.
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2008-2009 ASFG topics:
1. Generation of abilities based outcomes and assessment tools
2. Feedback on IPPE assignments and rotation effectiveness
3. Feedback on examination policies and formats
4. Feedback on admissions prerequisites and P1 performance