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Parents reading to their children have a tremendous positive influence on their child's cognitive development.
While the research literature of children’s librarianship is not as rich as some other disciplines, there are a variety of studies available that can be used to inform the development of programs and services. Two early, small studies (Razzano, 1985 and Perry, 1980) confirm that the use of the library by children has a positive effect on their library use as adults. Several studies have examined what works and what doesn’t work for a variety of services from preschool storytimes to summer reading programs. The Pennsylvania Library Association commissioned a study (Celano and Neuman, 2001) to examine preschool programs and summer reading programs in public libraries in Pennsylvania. An early research study (Smardo, 1983) examined the effectiveness of “live,” filmed books, and videotaped storytimes. In the process, the research confirmed that storytimes are effective in increasing receptive language skills of preschoolers. The Los Angeles County Public Library (Evaluation, 2001) evaluated the impact of their Summer Reading Program, and a large-scale study was undertaken in England (Elkin and Kinnell, 2000) to determine the impact of children’s library services and define “good practice.”

We can also learn from library research coming out of other disciplines. Using the child development theory of Lev Vygotsky, “action creates thought, development results from dialectical processes, and development occurs in historical and cultural contexts” (McKechnie, 1997, p. 66) to study children in public library settings, McKechnie discovered that “libraries provided many learning opportunities, particularly for learning how libraries work and for acquiring emergent literacy skills.” (McKechnie, 1997, p. 67.) A process for developing toddler storytimes based on child development principles has been outlined in the literature (Dowd and Dixon, 1996). Researchers from other disciplines have discussed using library outreach to develop emergent literacy skills (Fehrenbach et al, 1998). They have also provided informa-
tion about a variety of library programs that encourage emergent and family literacy (Birckmayer, 2000/01) and supplied a variety of suggestions about how libraries can promote early literacy learning (Teale, 1999).

Parental involvement in children's early literacy is crucial. Studies have examined the role of parental involvement in a Head Start program (Nespeca, 1995), Even Start (Padak et al, 1997), a teen parent program (Neuman, 1995) and a study designed around parental reading proficiency (Neuman, 1996). Each of these studies can give librarians insight into collaborating with these programs and working with parents.

There is a very large body of research (and controversy) related to methods for teaching children to read. Librarians can gain insight into a variety of issues by examining this research. Marilyn Miller (Miller, 1993) provides a very cogent argument for becoming familiar with reading research. Recent research is showing that the early years, birth to age five, are absolutely crucial in the process of learning to read and write. William Teale provides an excellent overview of the history and current trends in early literacy (Teale, 1995). There are a variety of factors that promote early literacy development (McConnell and Rabe, 1999). There are also a number of professional organizations that have issued positions on early literacy learning (International Reading Association and National Association for the Education of Young Children, 1998).

The past several years have seen numerous analyses of research about reading instruction. One of the most comprehensive, Preventing Reading Difficulties in Young Children (Snow et al, 1998) has a companion volume, Starting Out Right (Burns et al, 1999), designed for parents and teachers, with specific suggestions on early literacy and beginning reading. A report issued in 2000 by the National Reading Panel (National Reading Panel, 2000) provided the information for two documents, one for parents, Put Reading First: Helping your Child Learn to Read and one for educators, Put Reading First: The Research Building Blocks for Teaching Children to Read, that provide an over-
view of evidence-based reading research.

Research from the teaching profession addresses some areas of concern for children’s librarians including reading motivation and loss of learning over the summer. The results of a study by an education professor and a classroom teacher recommend the following to increase motivation, reading comprehension, and rate and accuracy of oral reading:

“Read aloud to students daily; provide a daily sustained silent reading; model personal reading enjoyment each day; provide for formal and informal book sharing; regularly provide students with a collection of reading materials from the school or community library; arrange for effective use of community volunteers to encourage recreational reading.” (Moser and Morrison, 1998, p. 245.)

A philosophical article posits, “If educators invested a fraction of the energy they now spend trying to transmit information in trying to stimulate the students' enjoyment of learning, we could achieve much better results.” (Csikszentmihalyi, 1990, p. 115.) A study on the effects of summer vacation on test scores showed, in a review of 39 previous studies, that:

“… Achievement test scores decline over summer vacation. The loss equals about one month on a grade-level equivalent scale. … There was evidence of greater negative effects on the reading skills of lower-income students. … The income differences also may be related to differences in opportunities to practice and learn (with more books and reading opportunities available to middle class students).” (Cooper et al, 1996, p. 264)

Chilling evidence that poor neighborhoods have less access to print materials has been documented in several studies. Courtney Smith and his colleagues discovered that children in Beverly Hills had an average of 498 books in their home as compared to one book for children in Watts. Comparing these same two communities the ratio of books in the classroom was 7.3 to 1, in school libraries 2.6 to 1, and in the public library 2.4 to 1. (Smith et al, 1997.) Another California study which examined the disparity of public libraries showed that the Beverly Hills Public Library, with a service population twice as large as the poor community of Santa Fe Springs, had four times as many books and programs as the Santa Fe Springs Library. Beverly Hills Public Library had 14 youth services librarians while Santa Fe Springs Library had no personnel assigned to youth services (Di Loreto and Tse, 1999). Susan Neuman has devoted much research to the issue of access to print resources and the impact on literacy. One study is the outcome of a project to provide books and literacy training to child care facilities in Philadelphia (Neuman, 1999), another is a comparison of the print environment of two low-income and two middle-income communities in Philadelphia (Neuman and Celano, 2001).

As a corollary to the research that suggests poor neighborhoods have less access to print other research shows that more access to print increases reading ability and test scores. Krashen shows that the number of books per student in the school library and average circulation at the public library were significant predictors of performance.
The availability of current research provides opportunities for children’s librarians to persuade administrators and policy makers that the programs and services we plan and present are of benefit to our children and our communities. The research presented here demonstrates that:

- The early years of a child’s life are crucial for building a basis for school success and lifelong learning.
- Access to reading materials, both at home and in public and school libraries, can have an impact on a child’s ability and motivation to read.
- Public libraries are particularly well suited to provide early literacy experiences for children and to provide assistance to parents and caregivers in nurturing reading and writing.

References


Cooper H. et al, 1996. “The effects of sum-


*Evaluation of the [Los Angeles County] Public Library Summer Reading Program: Books and Beyond… Take Me to Your Reader! Final Report, 2001.*


---

Haycock and Associates 1/2 page Ad


National Reading Panel, 2000. Teaching Children to Read: An Evidence-Based Assessment of the Scientific Research Literature on Reading and Its Implications for Reading Instruction. National Reading Panel http://www.nationalreadingpanel.org.


