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Abstract
Accurately measuring anterior chamber depth is critical in placing an intraocular lens after cataract surgery. The current method most commonly used to measure the distance from the posterior surface of the cornea to the anterior surface of the crystalline lens is ultrasound biometry. This project explored the efficacy of a simple optical instrument to measure anterior chamber depth (ACD) compared to that of applanation A scan ultrasound. The mean ACD measured by the A scan was 3.40mm (range 2.87 to 3.87 mm) while the mean ACD measured with test instrument was 3.61mm (range 3.00 to 4.11mm). The ACD measured with the test instrument was greater in 5 of the 6 eyes when compared with the A scan. The difference ranged from .06 mm shorter to 0.44 longer (mean +0.22±0.19. At-test revealed no significant difference in the two sets of measurements with significance of 0.001

Degree Type
Thesis

Degree Name
Master of Science in Vision Science

Committee Chair
Karl Citek

Keywords
anterior chamber depth, a scan, ultrasound, applanation

Subject Categories
Optometry
Copyright and terms of use

If you have downloaded this document directly from the web or from CommonKnowledge, see the “Rights” section on the previous page for the terms of use.

If you have received this document through an interlibrary loan/document delivery service, the following terms of use apply:

Copyright in this work is held by the author(s). You may download or print any portion of this document for personal use only, or for any use that is allowed by fair use (Title 17, §107 U.S.C.). Except for personal or fair use, you or your borrowing library may not reproduce, remix, republish, post, transmit, or distribute this document, or any portion thereof, without the permission of the copyright owner. [Note: If this document is licensed under a Creative Commons license (see “Rights” on the previous page) which allows broader usage rights, your use is governed by the terms of that license.]

Inquiries regarding further use of these materials should be addressed to: CommonKnowledge Rights, Pacific University Library, 2043 College Way, Forest Grove, OR 97116, (503) 352-7209. Email inquiries may be directed to: copyright@pacificu.edu
ANTERIOR CHAMBER DEPTH MEASUREMENT:
EXPERIMENTAL SLIT LAMP METHOD
VERSUS APPLANATION A-SCAN

BY JAMES ADAMEK, DAVE COULSON, JEFF BERGESON

12-10-06

A thesis submitted to the faculty of the
College of Optometry
Pacific University
Forest Grove, Oregon
for the degree of
Doctor of Optometry
May 2006

Advisor: KARL CITEK
Abstract

Accurately measuring anterior chamber depth is critical in placing an intraocular lens after cataract surgery. The current method most commonly used to measure the distance from the posterior surface of the cornea to the anterior surface of the crystalline lens is ultrasound biometry. This project explored the efficacy of a simple optical instrument to measure anterior chamber depth (ACD) compared to that of applanation A scan ultrasound. The mean ACD measured by the A scan was 3.40mm (range 2.87 to 3.87 mm) while the mean ACD measured with test instrument was 3.61mm (range 3.00 to 4.11mm). The ACD measured with the test instrument was greater in 5 of the 6 eyes when compared with the A scan. The difference ranged from .06 mm shorter to 0.44 longer (mean +0.22±0.19. A t-test revealed no significant difference in the two sets of measurements with significance of 0.001
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Introduction
Accurately measuring anterior chamber depth (ACD) is critical in placing an intraocular lens after cataract surgery. An error in measurement or placement as small as 0.25 mm can cause significant visual discomfort. The current method most commonly used to measure the distance from the posterior surface of the cornea to the anterior surface of the crystalline lens is ultrasound biometry. This project explores the efficacy of a simple instrument to measure anterior chamber depth compared to that of applanation A-scan ultrasound.

Instrumentation
The instrument being tested in this study is a micrometer caliper attached to the slit lamp axle that measured the slit lamps forward translation when moving focus from the anterior surface of the cornea to the anterior surface of the lens. The caliper was secured to an aluminum strip anchored to the slit lamp stage. The micrometer used had a digital scale on the side that displayed the distance down to the nearest .01 μm.

Methods
6 eyes of 3 optometry students were measured using the Humphrey 835 A scan ultrasound. Subjects had no history of eye disease except low refractive error. Refractive error ranged from +0.50 to -4D (average 2.16D). Astigmatism ranged from 0 to 1.5D (average 0.42D). Each eye was measured using applanation ultrasound biometry. Three readings were taken and averaged. Central corneal thickness was then measured three times for each eye using Sonogage Corneo-Gage™ ultrasound pachymetry and an average was calculated. To calculate the ACD using the test instrument several factors were
taken into account. Since the focus on the front surface of the cornea was actually on that of the tear film, 7 um were subtracted from the gross value. Also, the thickness of the cornea needed to be accounted for since we were interested in the distance between the posterior surface of the cornea and the anterior surface of the lens. Our final adjustment was for the index of refraction of the aqueous humor, 1.336^1.

Results
The mean ACD measured by the A scan was 3.40mm (range 2.87 to 3.87 mm) while the mean ACD measured with test instrument was 3.61 (range3.00 to 4.11). The AC depth measured with the test instrument was greater in 5 of 6 eyes when compared with the A scan. The difference ranged from 0.06 mm shorter to 0.44mm longer (mean +0.22±0.19mm). A t-test revealed no significant difference in the two sets of measurements with significance of p>0.05.
Figure 1. Scatter diagram of measurements by experimental ACD and applanation ultrasound biometry.

Discussion
The purpose of this study was to compare a new technique of measuring ACD to an established and valid existing method. The data obtained via applanation A scan biometry has inherent problems as well as some measurement errors due to poor technique used during data collection. Applanation biometry requires contact of the cornea with a probe, thus compressing the cornea and decreasing the measured ACD by as much as 0.14 mm to 0.22 mm even by the most experienced examiner\(^2\). This compression could be much more with the inexperienced examiners conducting the test in this study. The higher values obtained using the test instrument can be at least partially attributed to the
compressing cause by the applanation probe. Also, ultrasound biometry techniques have shown to produce significantly shorter measurements when compared to Scheimpflug imaging\textsuperscript{3}. Although there was found to be no significant difference between the two measurements the test instrument needs to be retested using a more valid method of determining ACD.

**Conclusion**

Although this test instrument may never replace ever advancing technologies or existing instruments for measuring ACD it could become an inexpensive teaching tool or used in student research projects. Its application can be extended to measuring other ocular structures such as the lens and cornea as well as distances between these structures.
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