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**ABSTRACT**

There are over 13 million people in the U.S. who wear soft contact lenses (SCL) for refractive correction. Patients who wear SCL are at increased risk for bacterial keratitis. Most bacterial infections of the cornea in these patients are caused by *Pseudomonas* and *Staphylococcus*. One of the reasons that these organisms may infect the cornea is their ability to adhere to SCL. Therefore, we investigated bacterial adherence of *Pseudomonas* and *Staphylococcus* to soft contact lenses using the agar sandwich technique. Unused hydrocurve II lenses were soaked in a saline solution of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* or *Staphylococcus aureus*. The lenses were then put on nutrient agar and covered with a thin layer of liquid nutrient agar. After incubation, the number of colony forming units (cfu) on the SCL were counted and used to determine the percent of bacterial adherence. Both organisms adhered to the soft lenses with no significant difference between their mean percent adherence.
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Special thanks to Mrs. Connie Basinski from Tuality Community Hospital for the bacterial cultures. Also, thanks to Dr. Cristina Schnider for providing the contact lenses and Dr. Diane Yolton for her invaluable advice and guidance.
INTRODUCTION

Soft contact lenses (SCL) have become a popular modality for the correction of refractive error. The ease of adaptation, high degree of comfort, and prolonged wearing time make them very appealing to the patient. But coinciding with this popularity is the increased incidence of bacterial corneal ulcers. New data shows a 0.2 percent incidence with extended wear (EW) SCL and a 0.04 percent incidence with daily wear (DW) SCL.21 By relating the incidence with prevalence, these low incidences with 4 million EW wearers yields a prevalence of an estimated 12,000 to 20,000 cases of EW contact lens-induced bacterial keratitis a year.5,21

The most prevalent organisms found to cause bacterial keratitis are *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Staphylococcus aureus*.4,8 In the conjunctival flora, *S. aureus* is one of the most commonly occurring bacteria on the healthy eye11, while *Pseudomonas* is a transient opportunist not normally present in the eye. *P. aeruginosa* is the most often cultured organism from corneal ulcers while *S. aureus* which is more available in the conjunctiva to infect the cornea causes a lesser number of corneal ulcers. This leaves a mystery as to the higher prevalence of *Pseudomonas* keratitis versus keratitis due to *S. aureus* or other microorganisms, especially since *S. aureus* is present in a higher number of normal conjunctival flora than is *P. aeruginosa*.15,18

Ocular infection caused by *Pseudomonas*, particularly in the normal eye of a healthy patient, is generally thought to follow trauma.22 It has been shown experimentally that bacteria will adhere to injured corneas in preference to those with intact epithelium.16 The trauma theory has
gained support from a study which has suggested that *Pseudomonas*-contaminated unused SCL (70% water) led to keratitis only on injured corneal epithelia (rabbit corneas). Trauma to the corneal epithelium can occur during lens insertion or removal. Another theory as to the increased prevalence of *P. aeruginosa* in bacterial keratitis is that the wearing of SCL reduces the normal oxygen level to the epithelium. Hypoxic damage to the epithelial barrier may provide the same portal of entry for pathogens as trauma does. Also the use of extended-wear SCL, perhaps more than daily-wear, may disrupt some of the eye’s protective mechanisms, such as the flushing action of the tears and an intact corneal epithelium, thereby increasing opportunity for corneal ulcers to occur.

The bacteria can enter the eye from several sources: contaminated solutions, improper disinfection, lens cases and the hands during contact lens manipulation. A study by Donzis et al\(^5\), showed about 50% of patients had contaminated contact lens care systems, regardless of whether DW or EW SCL. The most common organism isolated from contaminated care systems was coagulase-negative staphylococcus (50%), with *Pseudomonas* isolated from about 20% of the contaminated systems. When daily-wearers were compared to extended-wearers, there was a significantly greater frequency of contaminated commercial solutions among the patients wearing EW lenses, presumably because these solutions were opened and used for a longer period of time.\(^{11}\)

Once bacteria get into the eye, they may adhere to the contact lens. Studies using a scanning electron microscope to examine the anterior lens surface, demonstrated bacteria were adherent to SCL.\(^7\) It is believed that
lens surface coatings permit bacteria to accumulate and attach, which is necessary for persistence and colonization of bacteria on the lens surface.

The reason why bacterial ulcers in SCL wearers is more often caused by \textit{P. aeruginosa} than \textit{S. aureus} is still unclear. One reason may be that \textit{Pseudomonas}, once it gets in the eye, selectively adheres to the SCL.\textsuperscript{4,7} There are several laboratory methods to measure bacterial adherence to SCL. Direct counts of stained bacteria with image analysis are only useful for very small surface areas.\textsuperscript{1} An indirect method of counting radiolabelled bacteria is relatively insensitive.\textsuperscript{9} Our study was designed to quantify the adherence of \textit{P. aeruginosa} and \textit{S. aureus} to SCL in vitro using the agar sandwich method. The agar sandwich method is simple, inexpensive and seemingly sensitive for small numbers of adherent bacteria.

\textbf{MATERIALS AND METHODS}

Never-worn Hydrocurve II (Sola/Barnes-Hind) 45% and 55% water soft contact lenses were used to test bacterial adherence because of their availability. The differences between the contact lenses were in base curve (8.3 to 9.8), power (-3.25 to +16.00), center thickness (0.05 to 0.41) and diameter (13.5 to 15.5).

\textit{Staphylococcus aureus} and \textit{Pseudomonas aeruginosa} used in the adherence assay were obtained from a nearby hospital lab. They were isolated from patients with non-ocular disease. The organisms were grown at 37\^\circ C on nutrient agar (NA) and were transferred every seven days throughout the study.
Tubes of ten ml of tryptic soy broth (TSB) were inoculated with the organisms and incubated overnight. They reached a concentration of approximately $10^8$ cfu (colony-forming units)/ml. The bacteria were centrifuged for 5 min @ 4500 rpm and washed twice with 10ml of sterile isotonic saline (SIS). They were then incubated in 9ml of TSB for three hours @ $37^\circ$C to obtain actively dividing bacteria at an estimated concentration of $10^8$ bacteria/ml. The organisms were then diluted to estimated concentrations of $10^4$ and $10^3$ bacteria/ml and aliquots of 2ml of these suspensions were placed into sterile SCL vials. In addition, 0.1ml of each suspension ($10^4$ & $10^3$) was spread on an individual NA petri dish and incubated for 24 hours @ $37^\circ$C to determine the total number of cfu in soaking solution.

**In vitro Adherence Assay (Agar Sandwich Technique).** Each contact lens was transferred to an individual vial that contained 2ml of a suspension of bacteria and soaked at room temperature ($23^\circ$C) for three hours. Ten ml of SIS were added to each vial and the vial was vortexed for 5 seconds. The SIS was poured out and the contact lens was then rinsed with another 8ml of SIS. The lens was then put on a plate of NA with SCL tweezers and gently covered by a thin-layer of liquid NA to encase the lens in an agar sandwich. The plate was lightly swirled in a circular motion before it solidified so as to disperse any excess saline on the lens which would otherwise result in confluent growth. The petri dish containing the agar sandwich was incubated for 16 hours @ $37^\circ$C. A cfu count was made on each lens using a binocular dissecting microscope.
FLOWCHART

1) Centrifuge
2) Wash 2x with 10 ml SIS

- Incubate 24 hrs. @ 37°C
- Select plate 30-300 cfu
- cfu * dilution = cfu/ml

MATERIALS:
** 1 trial/bacteria
TSB - 50 ml
NA - 500 ml
SIS - 1 L
Petri dish - 8
Pipettes - 1 4 one ml
4 two ml
Test tubes - 18
CL Vials - 4

- Remove CL, then add 8 ml SIS to vial
- Vortex 5 secs.
- Rinse again w/ 8 ml SIS
- Dry CL

- Vials
Soak for 3 hrs. @ room Temp.

25 ml NA
10³
25 ml NA
10²
25 ml NA
10¹
25 ml NA
10⁰
The adherence of the bacteria to the contact lens is expressed as the percentage adherence per cm² of the lens:

\[
\text{cfu/cm² lens surface = \frac{\text{Total cfu in soaking soln}}{\text{Area of lens surface}} \times 100 = \% Adherence}
\]

Formulas used for calculation of contact lens (CL) surface area (A):\(^1\)\(^4\)

\[
A_{CL}(\text{cm}²) = A_{\text{back surface}}(BS) + A_{\text{front surface}}(FS)
\]

\[
ABS = 2\pi r (r - (0.5 \times (4r^2 - d^2)^{0.5})) \quad \text{r = base curve in mm}
\]

\[
d = \text{diameter SCL in mm}
\]

\[
AFS = 2\pi r_1 (r_1 - (0.5 \times (4r_1^2 - d^2)^{0.5})) \quad r_1 = \text{front curve in mm}
\]

where \(r_1 = \frac{61490r + 43tFr + 18490t}{143Fr + 61490}\)

\(r = \text{base curve in mm}\)

\(t = \text{center thickness}\)

\(F = \text{power CL in diopter}\)

The mean percent adherence and the standard deviation were calculated by using the sum of the percent adherence of each bacterial strain onto the SCL. A two-grouped unpaired t-test was used to compare the calculated mean percent adherence between \(P. \text{aeruginosa}\) and \(S. \text{aureus}\) trials. Correlation coefficients were computed by comparing the total cfu in the soaking solution from each trial and the percent adherence of \(P. \text{aeruginosa}\) and of \(S. \text{aureus}\).
RESULTS

The lens parameters, calculated surface area, cfu in soaking solutions, cfu on lenses and percent adherence for *S. aureus* are shown in Table 1 and for *P. aeruginosa* are shown in Table 2. The results of the t-test showed that there was no significant difference (P>0.0829) between the mean percentage adherence of *S. aureus* (0.025) and *P. aeruginosa* (0.016) to the hydrocurve II soft contact lenses (Table 3). The correlation coefficient showed that there was no correlation between bacterial adherence and the number of cfu in the soaking solution for either *S. aureus* (-0.228) or *P. aeruginosa* (-0.198). The correlation between the number of bacteria in the soaking solution and the percent adherence for *S. aureus* is shown in Fig. 1, and for *P. aeruginosa* in Fig. 2.

Table 1. Lens Parameters, cfu on lens and % adherence *S. aureus*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters of lens</th>
<th>Hydrocurve II</th>
<th>surface area (cm²)</th>
<th>total cfu in soaking soln</th>
<th>cfu on lens</th>
<th>% adherence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>vat % trial BC (mm) c.t. (mm) F (D) d (mm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 1</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>+2.25</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>4.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 2</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>+2.50</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>5.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 3</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>+3.50</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>4.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 4</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>+2.00</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>4.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 5</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>+4.00</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>4.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 6</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>+4.00</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>4.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 7</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>+10.00</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>4.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 8</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>+3.00</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>4.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 9</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>+16.00</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>4.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 10</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>+4.00</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>3.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 11</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>+1.50</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>4.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 12</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>+1.75</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>3.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 13</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>+2.00</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>4.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 14</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>+2.50</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>4.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 15</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>+4.00</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>4.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 16</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>+4.00</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>4.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 17</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>+1.50</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>4.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 18</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>+3.50</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>4.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 19</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>+14.00</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>4.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 20</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>+2.50</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>5.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 21</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>+4.00</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>4.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 2. Lens Parameters, cfu on lens and % adherence P. aeruginosa

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters of lens</th>
<th>Hydrocurve II</th>
<th>surface area (cm²)</th>
<th>total cfu in soaking soln</th>
<th>cfu on lens</th>
<th>% adherence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>wat %</td>
<td>trial</td>
<td>BC (mm)</td>
<td>c.t. (mm)</td>
<td>F (D)</td>
<td>d (mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>+2.00</td>
<td>14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-3.25</td>
<td>14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>+3.00</td>
<td>14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>+16.00</td>
<td>14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>+2.00</td>
<td>14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>+3.50</td>
<td>14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>+14.00</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>+4.00</td>
<td>14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>+1.50</td>
<td>14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>+2.00</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>-1.00</td>
<td>14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>+2.50</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>+14.00</td>
<td>14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>+14.00</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>+4.00</td>
<td>14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>+1.25</td>
<td>14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>+16.00</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>+2.75</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>+2.25</td>
<td>14.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. Means % adherence and Standard Deviation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. aureus</th>
<th>P. aeruginosa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std. dev.</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>0.101</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* % adherence not significantly different  \( p = 0.0829 \)
Figure 1. Correlation of number of Staphyloccocus aureus in soaking solution versus percent adherence.

Figure 2. Correlation of number of Pseudomonas aeruginosa in soaking solution versus percent adherence.
DISCUSSION

The agar sandwich technique offers a reliable means to measure small numbers of adherent bacteria to contact lenses. This method allows for quick identification of colonies and spatial localization. Bacterial colonies could be fairly easily separated into adherent colonies by their flat morphology on the contact lens from the oval shaped colonies growing on or in the nutrient agar. One difficulty encountered was that only small numbers of adherent bacteria could be quantified, since confluent growth prevents accurate counting.

The mean percent of adherence per cm$^2$ was approximately 0.025% and 0.016% for \textit{S. aureus} and \textit{P. aeruginosa} respectively. An unpaired t-test comparison of adherence between the bacterial strains showed that the mean percentages were not significantly different at a 5% level ($P > 0.0829$). These results conflict with previous data\cite{3} which showed a greater mean adherence for \textit{S. aureus} than \textit{P. aeruginosa} to SCL. Even though the techniques used were similar, other factors involved may have contributed to the differences in adherence. Selective bacterial adherence may be related to specific bonding sites, surface charges, and hydrophobicity. The other study\cite{3} used contact lenses of a different material, water content, and parameters. In addition, different strains of \textit{P. aeruginosa} and \textit{S. aureus} isolated from corneal ulcers were used.

There was a very low correlation between adherence and the number of cfu in the soaking solution for both \textit{S. aureus} (-.228) and \textit{P. aeruginosa} (-.198). Therefore, one may conclude that the concentration of bacteria in the soaking solution had no effect on the number of adherent bacteria onto the SCL. Perhaps one reason for this is that there is a limit as to the
number of bacteria that could adhere to the SCL due to competition for a few available binding sites. The percent adherence then, would be independent of the concentration in the soaking solution as long as a minimum concentration was in the soaking solution.

Further research is needed into this area to investigate why bacterial corneal ulcers caused by *P. aeruginosa* are more prevalent among EWSCL. The possibility that the integrity of corneal epithelium from soft contact lens wear may be compromised, and thus is more vulnerable to infections caused by *P. aeruginosa* is but one reason. The adherence of bacteria to the SCL is another area to be investigated. Perhaps different bacterial strains adhere to a greater or lesser degree. Strains of bacteria isolated from bacterial keratitis should be compared to those isolated from other infections. Different contact lens materials and lenses with different percent water content should also be used to investigate bacterial adherence.

The agar sandwich technique is useful to determine bacterial adherence and should be used to further investigate why patients with daily-wear lenses or those with extended-wear lenses are at greater risk to microbial keratitis than non-contact lens wearers.
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